

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNE	Y DOCKET NO.
09/45,439	02/23/2000	Sabel	10644 -	0001-2
er de la companya de La companya de la co	0	,	EXAMI	<del></del> , .
	`	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Hart	ey
African part of		L	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ge Parting Charles and San San San San	te season see Mes		1616	22
and promotion of	3.5 子族教 かられた 1.5 特別 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・	D	ATE MAILED:	
and the other sign	INTERVIE	EW SUMMARY		
ar Albania Agenti	s representative, PTO personnel):			
Mila				(X)
RIVINE FIG. 1020	g (txaminer)	(3)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Samarabkach	(Applicant (epr.)	(4)		.ni
te of Interview	15/2002	= .		
e: Telephonic Televideo	Conference Personal (copy i	is given to Papplicant Pa	onlicant's representat	ivo)
	/ <b>N</b>		oplicant's representat	ive).
nibit snown or demonstration cond	ducted: Yes KNo If yes, br	ief description:	. ,	**************************************
eement 🗆 was reached. 🗀 wa	as not reached.			
im(s) discussed: all p	rendina	*		•
im(s) discussed.	NI PI		<del></del>	1
ntification of prior art discussed: _	$H(1)$ $\sim 11 \sim 11$			
munication of prior art giscussed:_	7. 11 (000 01		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
munication of prior art discussed:				*
well to be the	what was agreed to if an agreeme	nt was reached as any other as	Nisa	use act that
na de la companya de	what was agreed to if an agreeme	nt was reached, or any other co		
The state was	what was agreed to if an agreeme	nt was reached, or any other co	/	ussed lini
cription of the general nature of v	method of m	nt was reached, or any other co	laims 10	1 and
cription of the general nature of vectors to the	method of moviding argum	nt was reached, or any other co alcing, i.e., e ments that	/	1 and raif fai
ecription of the general nature of vectors to the	method of m	nt was reached, or any other co aking, i.e., c ments that he polymerize	laims 10	1 and cart fai
elains to the general nature of v	method of moviding arguing H precursors Curt	nt was reached, or any other co alcing, i.e., e ments that he polymerize the stabilize	laims 10	1 and 5 art fai 9 with
claims to the general nature of vectors to the sold and production of the general nature of vectors to the sold and production of the general nature of vectors and production of the general nature of vectors and production of the sold an	method of me providing argu- lincluding H precursors Cuith as Claimed	nents that he polymerize the stabilize valiable, which the examiner apr	the prior step randfor	1 and  5 art fai  6 with  6 physiologic  1 claims allowable
scription of the general nature of values of the scription of the general nature of values of the scription of the stacked Also, where no contract the attached Also, where no contract the stacked Also, where the stacked Also, and the stacke	nethod of moviding arguing arguing arguing the amendments which would be a copy of the copy of the copy of the amendments which would be a copy of the	nents that  he polymerize  he stabilize  vailable, which the examiner agr  uld render the claims allowable in	the prior step randfor	1 and  5 art fai  6 with  6 physiologic  1 claims allowable
cription of the general nature of vectors to the claims to	nethod of moviding arguing arguing arguing arguing arguing arguing the arguments, if average of the amendments which would be a copy of the amendment and the copy of the amendment are copy of the copy of the amendment are copy of the copy of	nents that  he polymerize  he stabilize  vailable, which the examiner agr  uld render the claims allowable in  such	the prior sterms 10 and 10 med would render the savailable, a summa	1 and  5 art fai  6 with  6 physiologic  1 claims allowable
scription of the general nature of vectors for the scription of the general nature of vectors for a plant of the scription, it necessary, and the attached. Also, where no conclude the scription of the stacked of the scription of the stacked of the scription of	method of the acceptance of the py of the amendments which would be provide a separate record of the provide a separate record of the method of the provide of the method of the provide o	nents that  he polymerize  that state  valiable, which the examiner agrild render the claims allowable  the substance of the interview.	the prior sterms 10 and/or and/or eed would render the savailable, a summation for	1 and  or art fair  p with  physiologic  claims allowable  ry thereof must be  allowable  allowable  pendi
coription of the general nature of vectors for the claims for the claims for the claims for all control of the claims for all control of the claims for all control of the claims for applicant asset the paragraph above has been of WAIVED AND MUST INCLUIT	nethod of mentions arguing a copy of the amendments which would be a separate record of the control of the substance of th	rents that  he polymerize  railable, which the examiner agrid render the claims allowable in  the substance of the interview.  ary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REF	the prior the prior and/or and/or and/or and/or available, a summary for for the LAST O	1 and  and  and  and  and  and  and  and
Corption of the general nature of vectors for the company of the company of the company of the paragraph above has been of the	method of the acceptance of the py of the amendments which would be provide a separate record of the provide a separate record of the method of the provide of the method of the provide o	rents that  he polymerize  railable, which the examiner agrid render the claims allowable in  the substance of the interview.  ary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REF	the prior the prior and/or and/or and/or and/or available, a summary for for the LAST O	1 and  and  and  and  and  and  and  and
scription of the general nature of vectors for the claims for the claims for the claims for and claims for all the claims for a policient for the paragraph above has been of the control of the claims for the claims the paragraph above has been of the claims for	nethod of mentions arguing a copy of the amendments which would be a separate record of the control of the substance of th	rents that  he polymerize  railable, which the examiner agrid render the claims allowable in  the substance of the interview.  ary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REF	the prior the prior and/or and/or and/or and/or available, a summary for for the LAST O	1 and  and  and  and  and  and  and  and
scription of the general nature of vectors for the claims forecast for the claims for the claims for the claims for the claims	nethod of mentions arguing a copy of the amendments which would be a separate record of the control of the substance of th	rements that  he polymerize  valiable, which the examiner agrild render the claims allowable in the substance of the interview.  Party A FORMAL WRITTEN REFITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	the prior the prior and/or and/or and/or and/or available, a summary for for the LAST O	1 and  and  and  and  and  and  and  and
scription of the general nature of vectors for the claims for the	nethod of moviding arguing arg	rements that  he polymerize  valiable, which the examiner agrild render the claims allowable in the substance of the interview.  Party A FORMAL WRITTEN REFITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	the prior the prior and/or and/or and/or and/or available, a summary for for the LAST O	1 and  and  and  and  and  and  and  and
coription of the general nature of we claims for the claims for th	precursors with a copy of the amendments which would be provide a separate record of the checked to indicate to the control of	rents that  he polymerize  railable, which the examiner agrild render the claims allowable in the substance of the interview.  The STERVIEW. (See MPEP Section FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE  mother form.	the prior the prior and/or and/or and/or and/or available, a summary for for the LAST O	1 and  and  and  and  and  and  and  and
scription of the general nature of vertical constant of the claims for the claims for the claims for the claims for a polycent of the claims for the claims	precursors with a copy of the amendments which would be provide a separate record of the checked to indicate to the control of	rents that  re polymerize  railable, which the examiner agrild render the claims allowable in the substance of the interview.  ary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REFUTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE mother form.	the prior the prior and/or and/or see would render the savailable, a summary of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of tran	and  p with  physiologic  claims allowable  ry thereof must be  allowable  frice ACTION forth  the last Office forth  ENT OF THE  CVICE  ALL  ALL  ALL  ALL  ALL  ALL  ALL  A
scription of the general nature of vectors for the claims for the	precursors with a copy of the amendments which would be provide a separate record of the checked to indicate to the control of	rents that  re polymerize  railable, which the examiner agrild render the claims allowable in the substance of the interview.  ary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REFUTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE mother form.	the prior the prior and/or and/or see would render the savailable, a summary of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of tran	1 and  and  and  and  and  and  and  and

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the Interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of Interviews

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the Interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Application Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview,
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)

  -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the ciaims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An Indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree; that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, jorsly supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable Items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

  1) A brief description of the nature of any skhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
  2) an identification of the claims discussed.
  3) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary.
  5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbalim or highly detailed description of the grounents is not required. The identification of the arguments here are already. elaborate. Alverbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrus court e principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to or thrust of the principal arguments made round examiner can be understood to the examiner;

  emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner;

  \*\*Total Additional Control of the Indian Matter discussed, and the Indian Matter discussed.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and 7) iliaperopriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)). MAY

Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument of step to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.